

Self-selection and stock returns around corporate security offering announcements

Zurich, March 22 2013

Marie Dutordoir (Manchester Business School)

Laurie Hodrick (Columbia Business School)

Motivation

- Documented stock returns around announcement (Eckbo et al., Handbook of Corporate Finance: Empirical Corporate Finance Chapter 6, 2007)
 - Straight debt issue: no significant returns
 - Convertible debt issue: negative returns of approximately -1.5% on average
 - Seasoned equity issue: negative returns of approximately -2% on average
- An important caveat to observed security offering announcement effects is that they are conditional on firms self-selecting into a particular security offering

“If a corporate event is voluntary and investors are rational, cross-sectional regressions that seek to explain the event’s stock price effect should explicitly account for the self-selection of firms...” Eckbo et al. (RFS, 1990)

Main contribution

- To our knowledge, we are the first to incorporate the endogenous nature of security offering choices into an analysis of their stock price effects
- We analyze the determinants of security choices and associated stock price effects in an integrated framework
- This approach enables us to calculate estimates of counterfactual announcement returns that would be expected were firms instead to choose different security offerings: we find that firms choose the offering with the least negative expected announcement returns when offering straight debt, convertible debt, or common equity

Data

- We retrieve security offerings made by U.S. firms between 1999 and 2008 from the Security Data Company (SDC)
- Our search algorithm excludes
 - Mortgage- or asset-backed bonds
 - IPOs and secondary equity offerings
 - Offerings made by utilities and financials
 - Privately-placed non-Rule 144 A offerings
 - SEC Rule 415 shelf offerings
- We further require
 - Balance sheet and income statement data are available from Compustat
 - Stock price-related data are available from CRSP
 - Deal-specific information is available from SDC
- We thus obtain a data set of 1,142 straight debt, 659 convertible debt, and 371 equity offerings

Data: Measurement of determinants

- Descriptive statistics of determinants widely used in the literature as components of vectors X and Z in self-selection and announcement return equations (measured fiscal year-end prior to announcement) highlight how firm characteristics differ significantly across offering types

| Variables | Mean | | | <i>t</i> -statistics for difference in means | | |
|---------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------|--|------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Straight debt | Convertible | Equity | Convertible vs. Straight | Equity vs. Convertible | Equity vs. Straight |
| Leverage | 24.38% | 37.42% | 18.11% | 11.55 ^{***} | -4.32 ^{***} | -14.67 ^{***} |
| Volatility | 2.92% | 3.54% | 4.66% | 7.20 ^{***} | 7.89 ^{***} | 13.97 ^{***} |
| EBIT | 13.52% | 6.25% | -7.87% | -9.37 ^{***} | -7.13 ^{***} | -11.50 ^{***} |
| PPE | 35.64% | 25.00% | 24.87% | -12.53 ^{***} | -0.09 | -10.15 ^{***} |
| Taxes | 2.41% | 2.15% | 1.37% | -1.80 [*] | -4.80 ^{***} | -7.38 ^{***} |
| TB Yield | 2.99% | 2.82% | 3.56% | -2.01 ^{**} | 6.69 ^{***} | 5.59 ^{***} |
| Slack | 6.48% | 23.92% | 29.75% | 17.97 ^{***} | 3.24 ^{***} | 14.88 ^{***} |
| Stock Run-up | -0.05% | 0.03% | 0.24% | 4.34 ^{***} | 7.89 ^{***} | 11.31 ^{***} |
| Leading Indicator | 0.00% | 0.35% | 0.39% | 5.22 ^{***} | 0.57 | 4.88 ^{***} |
| Relative Proceeds | 23.98% | 20.35% | 27.28% | -3.94 ^{***} | 5.52 ^{***} | 2.48 ^{***} |
| Total Assets (\$ Million) | 7,768 | 2,656 | 1,259 | -8.10 ^{***} | -2.72 ^{***} | -8.57 ^{***} |
| MB | 4.51 | 6.24 | 5.98 | 1.41 | -1.29 | 1.55 |

Methodology

- Our research consists of the following steps
 - Traditional event study analysis of security offering announcement returns
 - Switching regression analysis of security choice and announcement return determinants
 - Counterfactual analysis of expected announcement returns under alternative offering choices

Methodology: Event study analysis

- Standard event study methodology as in Brown and Warner (JFE, 1985)
- Event study results consistent with literature

| Event day(s) | Straight debt (N = 1,004) | | |
|--------------|---------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| | Mean AR | % Negative AR | Patell Z-statistic |
| -1 | 0.04% | 50.82% | -0.12 |
| 0 | 0.11% | 50.27% | 2.15** |
| 1 | 0.07% | 47.46% | 1.00 |
| (2,10) | -0.13% | 51.18% | -0.29 |

| Event day(s) | Convertible debt (N = 638) | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| | Mean AR | % Negative AR | Patell Z-statistic |
| -1 | -0.79% | 55.54%** | -5.93*** |
| 0 | -3.02% | 73.44%*** | -23.02*** |
| 1 | -0.24% | 54.02% | -0.61 |
| (2,10) | 1.06% | 46.43%* | 2.45** |

| Event day(s) | Seasoned equity (N = 343) | | |
|--------------|---------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| | Mean AR | % Negative AR | Patell Z-statistic |
| -1 | 0.10% | 51.92% | 1.13 |
| 0 | -1.76% | 65.55%** | -12.44*** |
| 1 | 0.06% | 50.43% | 0.40 |
| (2,10) | -0.64% | 53.04% | -1.35 |

Methodology: Switching regression model

- Self-selection equation estimated as an ordered probit model with maximum likelihood method

$$Y^* = Z' \gamma + \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

$$Y^* \leq \mu_1 \rightarrow \text{straight debt}$$

$$\mu_1 < Y^* \leq \mu_2 \rightarrow \text{convertible debt}$$

$$\mu_2 < Y^* \rightarrow \text{equity}$$

- Announcement return equations

$$AR_0 = X_0 \beta_0 + u_0 \quad (2a)$$

$$AR_1 = X_1 \beta_1 + u_1 \quad (2b)$$

$$AR_2 = X_2 \beta_2 + u_2 \quad (2c)$$

- Endogeneity of security choice is modelled by allowing residuals u_j to correlate with residual ε : Use estimates for parameters μ and γ to calculate generalized residuals, to augment the announcement return equations

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{-f(\hat{\mu}_1 - Z' \hat{\gamma})}{F(\hat{\mu}_1 - Z' \hat{\gamma})} \quad \text{for straight debt} \quad (3a)$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{f(\hat{\mu}_1 - Z' \hat{\gamma}) - f(\hat{\mu}_2 - Z' \hat{\gamma})}{F(\hat{\mu}_2 - Z' \hat{\gamma}) - F(\hat{\mu}_1 - Z' \hat{\gamma})} \quad \text{for convertible debt} \quad (3b)$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{f(\hat{\mu}_2 - Z' \hat{\gamma})}{1 - F(\hat{\mu}_2 - Z' \hat{\gamma})} \quad \text{for equity} \quad (3c)$$

Methodology: Counterfactual analysis

- For a security issuer with particular characteristics, what would have been the announcement return if that firm had, instead, announced another offering type?
- To infer the abnormal stock return a straight debt issuer i would have obtained if it had instead announced convertible debt or equity, we compute the following counterfactuals

$$E(AR_{1i}|Y_i = 0) \quad (4a)$$

$$E(AR_{2i}|Y_i = 0) \quad (4b)$$

The counterfactual returns in these equations can be calculated by evaluating the straight debt issuer's attributes using the parameter estimates obtained for the relevant announcement return equation

- Counterfactual outcomes for convertible debt and equity issuers are computed in the same manner

Empirical results

- Determinants of the choice of offering largely consistent with literature and univariate results, robust to multiple offerings within year and to continuous variable specification

| Variables | Parameter values (z-statistic) | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| Intercept 1 | -3.981 ^{***} (-16.05) | -4.351 ^{***} (-13.57) | 0.768 ^{***} (31.73) |
| Intercept 2 | -2.498 ^{***} (-10.46) | -2.795 ^{***} (-9.17) | NA |
| Leverage | -0.855 ^{***} (-6.22) | -0.825 ^{***} (-5.11) | -0.658 ^{***} (-6.21) |
| Volatility | 12.476 ^{***} (7.04) | 12.107 ^{***} (4.21) | 9.960 ^{***} (5.35) |
| EBIT | -0.556 ^{**} (-2.24) | -0.494 ^{**} (-2.00) | -0.299 [*] (-1.92) |
| PPE | -0.385 ^{***} (-2.95) | -0.412 ^{***} (-2.64) | -0.335 ^{***} (-3.29) |
| Taxes | -3.205 ^{***} (-2.60) | -3.419 ^{***} (-2.79) | -2.187 ^{***} (-2.66) |
| TB Yield | 1.794 (0.96) | 2.738 (1.28) | -3.373 (-0.26) |
| Slack | -0.291 (-1.50) | -0.271 (-1.42) | -0.033 (-0.27) |
| Stock Run-up | 71.395 ^{***} (8.00) | 76.641 ^{***} (5.58) | 51.065 ^{***} (5.81) |
| Leading Indicator | 14.212 ^{***} (4.90) | 12.451 ^{***} (3.55) | 10.389 ^{***} (4.62) |
| Relative Proceeds | -2.114 ^{***} (-11.30) | -2.172 ^{***} (-9.93) | -1.622 ^{***} (-10.81) |
| LN(Total Assets) | -1.070 ^{***} (-17.29) | -1.191 ^{***} (-14.54) | -0.732 ^{***} (-14.21) |
| MB | -0.002 (-0.01) | 0.020 (0.54) | 0.010 (0.34) |
| Debt Maturing in One Year | -1.238 [*] (-1.72) | -1.124 [*] (-1.72) | -0.806 [*] (-1.70) |
| Pseudo R ² | 29.86% | 33.73% | 28.58% |
| Likelihood ratio statistic | 1,196.94 ^{***} | 1,187.79 ^{***} | 1,466.12 ^{***} |
| N | 1,982 | 1,875 | 1,982 |

Empirical results

- Determinants of straight debt offering announcement returns show significant ‘positive selection’ and effect of residual variable on other coefficients

| Variables | Parameter values (z-statistic) | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| Intercept | -0.085 (-1.63) | 0.010 (0.83) | -0.083 (-1.61) |
| Residual | -0.037* (-1.95) | | -0.036* (-1.93) |
| Confounding | | | -0.004 (-0.73) |
| Leverage | 0.018* (1.67) | 0.001 (0.16) | 0.018* (1.67) |
| Volatility | -0.311** (-2.05) | -0.063 (-0.59) | -0.308** (-2.04) |
| EBIT | 0.014 (0.43) | 0.004 (0.13) | 0.014 (0.43) |
| PPE | 0.016*** (2.47) | 0.010* (1.89) | 0.016*** (2.44) |
| Taxes | 0.004 (0.04) | -0.067 (-0.68) | 0.002 (0.02) |
| TB Yield | -0.181** (-2.08) | -0.155* (-1.89) | -0.185** (-2.11) |
| Slack | 0.035** (2.01) | 0.033* (1.92) | 0.034* (1.98) |
| Stock Run-up | -2.333** (-2.45) | -1.231** (-2.50) | -1.490** (-2.34) |
| Leading Indicator | -0.345 (-1.72*) | -0.068 (-0.49) | -0.344 (-1.72*) |
| Relative Proceeds | 0.029 (1.43) | -0.008 (-0.97) | 0.029 (1.42) |
| LN(Total Assets) | 0.016* (1.64) | -0.001 (-0.49) | 0.016 (1.62) |
| MB | -0.058*** (-3.01) | -0.057*** (-2.85) | -0.055*** (-2.79) |
| R ² | 3.46% | 3.15% | 3.55% |
| Adjusted R ² | 2.10% | 1.91% | 2.09% |

Empirical results

- Determinants of convertible debt offering announcement returns show effect of residual variable on other coefficients

| Variables | Parameter values (z-statistic) | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| Intercept | -0.441** (-2.09) | -0.105*** (-2.78) | -0.402* (-1.84) |
| Residual | -0.122 (-1.58) | | -0.103 (-1.29) |
| Confounding | | | 0.017** (2.00) |
| Leverage | 0.080 (1.49) | -0.004 (-0.22) | 0.070 (1.26) |
| Volatility | -1.531 (-1.57) | -0.278 (-1.11) | -1.259 (-1.40) |
| EBIT | 0.036 (0.74) | -0.017 (-0.53) | 0.021 (0.43) |
| PPE | 0.068* (1.96) | 0.028 (1.27) | 0.065* (1.85) |
| Taxes | 0.208 (0.64) | -0.121 (-0.75) | 0.174 (0.53) |
| TB Yield | 0.600** (2.02) | 0.745*** (2.65) | 0.632** (2.14) |
| Slack | 0.055* (1.72) | 0.024 (0.94) | 0.052 (1.62) |
| Stock Run-up | -6.700 (-1.32) | 0.693 (0.53) | -5.348 (-1.02) |
| Leading Indicator | -0.555 (-0.56) | 0.823* (1.81) | -0.311 (-0.30) |
| Relative Proceeds | 0.154 (1.06) | -0.062 (-1.76) | 0.118 (0.78) |
| MB | 0.027** (2.24) | 0.027** (1.96) | 0.027** (2.04) |
| LN(Total Assets) | 0.127* (1.84) | 0.018* (1.78) | 0.111 (1.55) |
| R ² | 4.53% | 4.18% | 5.08% |
| Adjusted R ² | 2.40% | 2.22% | 2.80% |

Empirical results

- Determinants of equity offering announcement returns show significant ‘positive selection,’ effect of residual on other coefficients, and substantial differences across security offerings

| Variables | Parameter values (z-statistic) | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| Intercept | 0.036 (0.95) | -0.005 (-0.18) | 0.035 (0.93) |
| Residual | 0.043* (1.71) | | 0.043* (1.71) |
| Confounding | | | -0.022* (-1.77) |
| Leverage | -0.048* (-1.72) | -0.022 (-1.03) | -0.050* (-1.80) |
| Volatility | -0.192 (-0.75) | -0.484* (-1.91) | -0.185 (-0.73) |
| EBIT | 0.019 (0.95) | 0.024 (1.22) | 0.016 (0.86) |
| PPE | -0.008 (-1.05) | 0.004 (0.27) | -0.008 (-0.46) |
| Taxes | -0.024 (-0.15) | 0.090 (0.66) | -0.012 (-0.07) |
| TB Yield | -0.036 (-0.16) | -0.052 (-0.23) | -0.030 (-0.13) |
| Slack | 0.014 (0.73) | 0.024 (1.26) | 0.012 (0.65) |
| Stock Run-up | 2.987** (2.06) | 1.577* (1.63) | 3.011** (2.07) |
| Leading Indicator | 0.221 (0.56) | -0.161 (-0.48) | 0.221 (0.56) |
| Relative Proceeds | -0.047 (-1.05) | 0.006 (0.27) | -0.049 (-1.10) |
| MB | -0.131 (-0.22) | 0.235 (0.40) | -0.132 (-0.22) |
| LN(Total Assets) | -0.032* (-1.67) | -0.001 (-1.16) | -0.030 (-1.57) |
| R ² | 5.30% | 4.82% | 6.43% |
| Adjusted R ² | 1.19% | 1.06% | 2.08% |

Empirical results

- Analysis of actual versus counterfactual announcement effects: firms select offering with least negative expected announcement return, results robust

| Security issuer type | Security type | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| | Straight debt (1) | Convertible debt (2) | Seasoned equity (3) |
| Straight debt issuer (a) | 0.11% (I) | -8.60% | -11.02% |
| | | <i>t</i> -stat. for difference with (II): -7.66 ^{***} | <i>t</i> -stat. for difference with (III): -27.55 ^{***} |
| | | <i>t</i> -stat. for difference with (I): -54.81 ^{***} | <i>t</i> -stat. for difference with (I): -84.77 ^{***} |
| Convertible debt issuer (b) | -3.25% | -2.75% (II) | -4.57% |
| | <i>t</i> -stat. for difference with (I): -21.18 ^{***} | | <i>t</i> -stat. for difference with (III): -18.11 ^{***} |
| | <i>t</i> -stat. for difference with (II): -2.78 ^{***} | | <i>t</i> -stat. for difference with (II): -10.13 ^{***} |
| Seasoned equity issuer (c) | -5.24% | -1.61% | -1.76% (III) |
| | <i>t</i> -stat. for difference with (I): -32.17 ^{***} | <i>t</i> -stat. for difference with (II): 4.24 ^{***} | |
| | <i>t</i> -stat. for difference with (III): -4.52 ^{***} | <i>t</i> -stat. for difference with (III): 0.31 | |

Conclusions

- To our knowledge, our study is the first to incorporate the non-random nature of security choices into an analysis of security offering announcement returns
- Our results suggest that firms choose the security offering with the least negative expected announcement effect
 - Straight debt and convertible debt issuers would have encountered significantly more negative announcement returns had they instead issued equity
 - Returns even more negative than those observed for actual equity issuers (six times as negative and twice as negative as equity issuers, respectively)
- Equity and convertible debt issuers would have encountered significantly more negative announcement returns had they instead issued straight debt
- As a consequence, the negativity of security offering announcement returns is truncated by firms' self-selection into particular security offerings, as the reaction would have been worse had they issued something else
- Does anticipation of the announcement effects drive the offering choice?
 - Testing whether expected announcement returns influence security choice by estimating structural self-selection model
 - Preliminary results suggest that expected announcement returns do have an impact on security choices incremental to other security choice determinants